

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,514	11/21/2003	Yasuhiro Toi	32405R0951	8410
441	7590 03/21/2005	EXAMINER		
SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL, LLP			THOMAS, ALEXANDER S	
1850 M STREET, N.W., SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	
			DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/717,514	TOI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alexander Thomas	1772			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Fe	ebruary 2005.				
,	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1.2 and 7-20 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 7-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers		:			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
. Patent and Trademark Office					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/717,514

Art Unit: 1772

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2 and 7-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Willden et al in view of Lackman et al 4,256,790. The primary reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed, namely a skin of fiber-reinforced material with stiffeners arranged in rows on one surface, a fiber-reinforced material adhered to the skin and stiffeners, and ribs or frame members connecting the stiffeners; see Figure 2, column 1, lines 20-25 and column 2, lines 27-55. However, it does not disclose the use of stitching in the laminate. The secondary reference discloses stitching in a laminate along flange portions of stiffeners, in a longitudinal direction of the stiffeners; see Figure 6, column 3, lines 34-40 and column 4, lines 50-58. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide stitching in a longitudinal direction of the flange portions of the stiffeners in the article of the primary reference in view of the secondary reference in order to reinforce the joint and to provide a means of holding the laminate together for co-curing as opposed to curing individual parts prior to layup. The various process limitations, such as "by a RTM or an RFI method", "so as to be loosened in some places", etc. do not provide patentably distinguishing structural features to the final products.

Concerning claim 13, the use of metal as opposed to a composite material is suggested by the fact that composite materials were developed to be used in place of metals; see column 1, lines 26-32. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use metal stiffeners in the product of the primary reference if a heavier, weaker laminate could be tolerated.

Regarding claims 14 and 15, the reference shows a L-shaped stiffener attached to the second sheet; see Figure 4 for example. Forming a stiffener from two pieces (claim 15) as opposed to one piece is an obvious design engineering choice in view of In Re Larson, 144 USPQ 347 (CCPA 1965) which states that it is within the general skill of a worker in the art to make plural parts unitary.

## Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/717,514

Art Unit: 1772

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ast

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Defoudy S Mouen